

REMARKS

Status of Application

A Notice of Allowance is mail dated August 11, 2005, however, the Issue Fee has not, as yet, been paid. This 312 Amendment is presented to correct some obvious typographical errors.

Specification Amendments

In the paragraph bridging pages 58 and 59, there is a description of Formula (A-4). Formula (A-4) is a reducing agent as recited in the bottom paragraph on page 47. The formula for (A-4) is recited on the top of page 49. As recited in the paragraph bridging pages 58 and 59, R₄₀ is represented by a compound of Formula (A-6). Formula (A-6) is recited on page 49. R₄₀ is a substituent of Formula (A-4) wherein the substituent is represented by the Formula (A-6). Clearly, R₄₀ and (A-6) are not yellow coloring leuco dyes, and the reference to the same on page 58 is clearly a clerical error.

The yellow coloring leuco dyes recited in the Specification are disclosed at page 99 and are represented by Formula (YA). The specific compounds that make up the leuco dye fall within the Formula (YA) recited on pages 104 and 105 of the Application. Clearly, these formulas have nothing to do with Formula R₄₀.

The 4th paragraph on page 219 has also been amended to recite that the leuco dye is YA-1 rather than A-1. Again, this is an obvious typographical error since Formula A-1 is the general formula for a reducing agent as shown on page 48 while the leuco dyes fall in the general formula YA as shown on page 99 and the specific leuco dyes are shown on pages 104 and 105. On page 219, reference is made to a specific leuco dye which is clearly YA-1 and not reducing agent A-1 since A-1 is a general formula and is not a leuco dye but, rather a reducing agent. In contrast, YA-1 is a specific leuco dye recited on page 104.

Additionally, on page 219, the phrase "represented by Formula A-6 of the Invention" has been deleted. (A-6) is a substituent of the reducing agent of Formula (A-4) as previously discussed. The formula for (A-6) is shown on page 49 in the Application. Thus, it is respectfully

submitted that the amendment to page 219 is simply correcting obvious clerical errors.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the amendment should be entered to correct obvious clerical errors in the Application. Thus, it is respectfully requested that the 312 Amendment be entered.

Should any fees or extensions of time be necessary in order to maintain this Application in pending condition, appropriate requests are hereby made and authorization is given to debit Account # 02-2275.

Respectfully submitted,

LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP

By:



Donald C. Lucas, Reg. #31.275
(Attorney for Applicants)
475 Park Avenue South
New York, New York 10016
Tel. # (212) 661-8000

DCL/mr

Encl: Return receipt post-card